PM World Journal
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net

Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation in Temporary Organizations
Second Edition

by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation in Temporary Organizations[1]

Dr. Ravikiran Dwivedula[1], Prof Christophe Bredillet[2], Prof Ralf Müller[3]

[1]American College of Dubai, UAE
[2] Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Canada
[3]Dept. of Leadership & Organizational Behaviour, BI Norwegian Business School

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to propose an operational definition of work motivation in temporary organizations. We use job design perspective of work motivation as a theoretical lens. We present an extensive review of job design theories from I/O psychology and present the work motivation literature from temporary organizations along these theories. We then identify items for the work motivation construct based on this literature review. We empirically test the reliability and validity of these items using a survey with a sample of 199 project workers. The findings confirm the previously established construct of work motivation. Academic and managerial implications of these findings are discussed.

Key Words: *Work Motivation, Project Management, Temporary Organizations*

INTRODUCTION

Work motivation is a driver to organization's performance. Work motivation as a topic draws interest both from practitioners, and academic. It has been shown to lead to attitudinal, behaviour (Pierce, Gardner, Dunham, & Cummings, 1993) and performance outcomes (Moorehead& Griffin, 1998). Hence, it has important implications for managing the workforce. From an academic perspective, work motivation is a key construct in organizational research, where much research has been dedicated to an understanding of its antecedents and outcomes (Moorehead, & Griffin, 1998). While it has been extensively studied in Industrial/ Organizational (I/O) psychology literature, research from project management discipline on this topic has been modest and sporadic. In the current paper, we address this research gap. The present study contributes to the extant literature by suggesting an operational framework of work motivation for temporary organizations. To do this, we set the following objectives for this study:

---

[1] *Second Editions are previously published papers that have continued relevance in today's project management world, or which were originally published in conference proceedings or in a language other than English. Original publication acknowledged; authors retain copyright. This paper was originally presented at the 2nd annual University of Maryland Project Management Symposium in College Park, Maryland, USA in June 2015. It is republished here with the permission of the authors and conference organizers.*

PM World Journal
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net

*Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation
in Temporary Organizations*
Second Edition   by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

1. To consolidate the literature review on work motivation in temporary organizations by establishing a theory base
2. To propose a possible operational definition of work motivation in temporary organizations.

Organization of the paper. We have organized this paper as follows. First, we will review the major theories of work motivation from the Industrial/ Organizational (I/O) psychology literature. We will refer to the context or the job design perspective of work motivation. Second, we will review literature on work motivation in temporary organizations along the major theories of work motivation identified from the I/O psychology literature. Third, we will present the research design where we empirically test the proposed construct of work motivation. Fourth, we will present the findings. Fifth, we will discuss the findings in detail, followed by the concluding remarks.

THEORY

Theoretical Perspectives of Work Motivation. Over the years, several approaches have been pursued to investigate the constructs, antecedents, and outcomes of work motivation. Although there are several frameworks suggested to explain work motivation (c.f. Latham & Pinder, 2005), the common dimensions seem to be (i). needs, drives, and traits (that are largely biological in nature), (ii). Context (that explains constructs such as job design and person-context fit to define work motivation), and (iii). Cognition (that explain motivation as an outcome of one's (behavioral) self- regulation in relation to the context and needs/ drives. For the purpose of this paper, we will restrict the discussion to the Context-Work design theories of work motivation to derive an operational definition of work motivation, and use Pinder's definition of work motivation (1988) as a point of departure- set of energetic forces that originate both from within as well as beyond the individual's being to initiate work-related behavior (Pinder, 1998). It induces actions in the employees, and explains the direction, intensity, and duration of their behavior.

Context- work design approach to work motivation: Empirical research on work design has predominantly focused on Hackman and Oldham's Job Characteristic Model (1975) that proposed five job dimensions which motivated employees- skill variety, task identity, task significance, feedback, and autonomy. The same model to measure work motivation has been used in the subsequent studies in this period (c.f. Champoux, 1980). The job characteristic model has also drawn considerably from the socio-technical system studies (Cherns, 1976) that identifies autonomy at work, challenging nature of work, opportunities for learning on the job, and feedback from work as being constituents of work motivation. Apart from the job characteristics model, other theoretical lenses that have shaped research on work motivation from the job design perspective are Tavistock Studies (Trist & Bamforth, 1951, Cherns, 1976), Scientific management research (Taylor, 1911), Herzberg et al Two factor theory of motivation (1959), Job enrichment perspective (Paul, Robertson, & Herzberg, 1969), Rockeach's Value expression & self identification (1973), Redundancy of Functions (Emery & Emery, 1976),

PM World Journal
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net

Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation in Temporary Organizations
Second Edition

by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

Demand Control Model (Karasek, 1979), Distal Motivation (Kanfer, 1990), and Morgeson and Humphrey's Extended Job Characteristic Model (2006).

LITERATURE REVIEW

Work Motivation in Temporary Organizations: There has been growing interest on what motivates project workers recently. Although the state of research is predominantly normative (connoting to practices), literature review reveals these practices connote to major theories of work motivation. Various theoretical lenses such as Socio-Technical Perspective (*c.f.* Schmidt &Adams, 2008), scientific management (*c.f.* Rose & Manley, 2008), job characteristic model (*c.f.* Mahoney, & Lederer , 2006; Hall, Sharp, Baddoo, Beecham, & Robinson, 2008; Bjorklund, 2010), and intrinsic motivation perspective (Hall et al, 2008) have been used to explain work motivation in temporary organizations. The extant literature is further presented in this section.

Protocol for Literature Review. Our first objective was to 'consolidate' the literature on work motivation in temporary organizations. To this end, we conducted an extensive literature review that is structured along the major theories of work motivation discussed above. We considered SCOPUS database to identify extant literature on work motivation in temporary organizations. In consonance with the purpose of this study, we restricted our review to scholarly articles that focused their discussion on the job design perspective to work motivation (in temporary organizations). The key strings used were "job design" and "project management"; "job design" and "temporary organization"; "job design" and "work motivation" and "project management". Articles from peer-reviewed journals, articles in press, conference proceedings, and book chapters were considered.

Table 1. Theoretical Lenses and Literature Review

Theory	Basis for work motivation item	Work Motivation in Project Management
Scientific Management (Taylor, 1911)	Financial incentives related to productivity (performance)	Rose & Manley (2009); Armstrong (2003). Polesie (2013)
Tavistock Studies on Socio-Technical Systems Approach (Trist & Bamforth, 1951); Cherns (1976)	Principles of Socio-Technical Systems-autonomy, task identity, meaningfulness of task, feedback on performance	Schmid & Adams (2008), Andersen (2010)
Walker & Guest (1952)	Employees required to undertake a variety of tasks through job rotation and job enlargement	Seiler, Lent, Pinkowska, & Pinazza (2012); Heimgärtner,R., Windl, H., Solanki, H. (2011)
Two Factor theory (Herzberg, Mausner & Snyderman, 1959)	Nature of work itself as an intrinsic motivator for the employee; job security as an extrinsic motivator	Parker ,Wall, & Cordery (2001); Mahoney & Lederer (2006); Schmid & Adams (2008)
Job Enrichment (Paul, Robertson, & Herzberg, 1969; Paul	Challenging nature of work, autonomy at work, and providing a sense of achievement to the employee	Procaccino,Verner, & Lorenzet (2006); Badir, Buchel, & Tucci (2012); Schmid & Adams (2008),

PM World Journal
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net
Second Edition

*Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation
in Temporary Organizations*
by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

& Robertson, 1970)		Polesie (2013)
Job Characteristic Model (Hackman, & lalwler, 1971; Hackman & Oldham, 1976)	Five core job characteristics proposed- skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback	Mahoney, & Lederer (2006); Kluger, & DeNisi (1996); Ling, & Loo (2014)
Redundancy of Functions (Emery, 1976)	Creation of groups within the organization is a precursor to project-based organizations	Sieler, Lent, Pinkowska, & Pinazza (2011); Welch, Welch, & Tahvanainen (2008)
	Freedom to participate in decisions that affect their work activity, A chance to learn on the job and go on learning, optimal variety, mutual support, and respect of their work colleagues, a socially meaningful task	
Demand Control Mode (Karasek, 1979)	Challenging nature of work, autonomy at work	Sieler, Lent, Pinkowska, & Pinazza (2011), Leung, Chan, & Dongyu (2011)
Resource Allocation Perspective (Naylor, Pritchard, & Ilgen, 1980);	Personal growth, and job enrichment (autonomy at work)	Li, Bingham, & Umphress (2007)
Distal Motivation (Kanfer, 1990)		
Job Performance (Campbell, 1990)	Communication and collegiality among group members are specific task behaviors that lead to performance	Kluger, & DeNisi (1996); Sharp, Baddoo, Beecham, Hall & Robinson (2008); Zika-Viktorson,Sundstrom, &Engwall (2006), Nesheim, & Smith (2015)
Extension to Job Characteristic Model (Morgeson & Humphrey, 2006)	Autonomy at work Five core job dimensions Task Variety, Skill Variety, feedback from others, feedback from work itself, access to work specific information, informal communication	Zwikael & Unger-Aviram (2009); Turner, & Lloyd-Walker (2008); Bjorklund (2010); Schmid & Adams (2008), Ling, & Loo (2014)

METHOD

Design. In consonance with the research question which is to propose an operational definition of work motivation in case of temporary organizations, we have adopted a post-positivist stand and therefore quantitative methodology (explained in the section Epistemology and Ontology).

Metrics. To measure work motivation, we used motivational items included as a part of the 'multi method job design questionnaire' (MJDQ, Campion, 1988, Campion and Thayer, 1985).

PM World Journal   *Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation*
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015   *in Temporary Organizations*
www.pmworldjournal.net   Second Edition   by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

Campion (1988) modified the original MJDQ to study employees from 92 different jobs using this instrument (N=1024). The self-report data from this sample produced an internal consistency reliability of .85 for the 'Motivational Items'. The measures are detailed in table 2.

Table 2. Work Motivation Items

Serial Number	Item
1	Allows freedom and discretion in scheduling work and other decisions
2	Is significant when compared to other jobs
3	Gives a feeling of achievement
4	Opportunity to participate in job related decisions
5	Chance to do a whole piece of work
6	Feedback from work about my performance
7	Feedback from managers and co—workers
8	Social interaction with team or co-workers
9	Goals are specific
10	Access to relevant communication channels
11	Adequate pay when compared with similar jobs
12	Gives adequate recognition
13	Offers job security
14	Advancement to higher level jobs
15	Opportunity for learning and improve competency
16	Variety of tasks and activities
17	Variety of knowledge and skills
18	Requires high level knowledge and skills

Sample & data collection. The participants were alumni of the authors' universities, and their contact information was made available to the authors. The potential participants identified were contacted in person by the authors. A copy of the survey was emailed to them. We also requested these respondents to forward the survey to their acquaintance. Only those working in a project-based organization were considered. 315 respondents were reached of which 199 completed the survey, giving a healthy response rate of 63.1%.A majority of the respondents were drawn from Information Technology, and Manufacturing sectors.

Reliability and Validity. The internal reliability of the work motivation items was established using Cronbach alpha, Guttman split-half coefficient, and Spearman-Brown coefficient. The convergent validity was established using item-to-item correlations, and item-to-total correlations (Icobucci & Churchill, 2010) (see table 4).

The reliability of the items was established using Cronbach Alpha, Guttma split-half coefficient, and Spearman-Brown coefficient. In line with the accepted research practice where the items should report correlation of 0.7 or higher, the cronbach alpha of the 18 items was 0.85, Guttman Split-Half coefficient was 0.84, and Spearman-Brown coefficient was 0.84. These results indicate strong correlations between the 18 items of the proposed work motivation construct.

PM World Journal
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net

*Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation
in Temporary Organizations*
Second Edition   by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

We first attempt to establish the content validity and face validity of the work motivation items. Content validity should establish whether the items cover a representative sample of all the questions that can be used to measure the construct, which in this case is work motivation. It is drawn from a rigorous review of theory. In this case, we have reviewed major theories and literature on work motivation from the job design perspective in two domains- Organizational Behavior and Project Management. Furthermore, these items have been reviewed by two subject matter experts. Thus, we have established the content and face validity of our items.

The convergent validity of the construct was established using item-to-item correlations and item-to-total correlations (Icobucci & Churchill, 2010). The average item-total correlation is reported as 0.72, and the average inter-item correlation is reported to be 0.30. Further evidence of Construct Validation can also be derived from the observed Cronbach Alpha value (Edwards, 2003). He posits that construct validity concerns the degree to which a measure represents a particular construct. This has been established in our study where the 18 items of Work Motivation report a cronbach alpha of 0.85.

Table 3. Item Reliability and Construct Validity

Variable	Number of items	Construct	Cronbach alpha	Guttman Split-Half coefficient	Spearman-Brown coefficient		Item-Total Correlation ($p= .01$)	Average inter-item correlation ($p= .01$)
					Equal length	**Unequal length**		
Work motivation	18	All items	0.85	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.72	0.30

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The empirical analysis of the work motivation items confirms the previously developed construct of work motivation (Campion & Thayer, 1988). The theoretical bases of this construct lies in the job design studies which firmly establish the motivating nature of job design. As established in the I/O psychology literature, we derive a uni dimensional construct of work motivation. This suggests that facets to job design such as intrinsically motivating nature of work itself, opportunities for professional growth, and rewards cumulatively contribute to a project worker's motivation.

Project workers tend to value their skill sets highly and therefore are motivated by jobs that offer a challenge, allowing them to participate in decisions pertaining to their work, and thus give them a sense of achievement. These results complement earlier research which posit that project workers look for opportunities to maximize their competencies through nature of work which they find intrinsically motivating. In enhancing the competencies of project workers, empowering management practices play a pivotal role.

PM World *Journal*
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net

Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation
in Temporary Organizations
Second Edition   by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

Empowering management practices are the formal and the informal organizational procedures that encourage the employees to take on more responsibilities, and contribute to one's professional development. Our results have shown that project workers demonstrate a strong proclivity towards jobs that offer enriching job design (knowledge variety, high level of knowledge, advancement on the job), social learning (opportunities to learn on the job), participative decision making , and intrinsic motivation (employees having a sense of achievement). The proclivity for empowering nature of work is more in case of project workers because their work requires a great deal of flexibility, innovation, creativity, and intellectual analysis (Parker, Wall, & Cordery, 2001). This also renders project environments to be characterized by sense of discontinuity and therefore job insecurity. Thus, it becomes important for project managers to set and communicate clear goals to the project workers.

An important determinant of employees' positive perception of the organization's climate is the information which the employee obtains on his or her performance on the job. In case of project management specifically, Li¸ Bingham, and Umphress (2007) argue that employees' perception of this fair treatment or lack of it within the organization has performance implications Professionals, in this case the project workers especially value a sense of responsibility. Kluger and DeNisi (1996) posit that team members will especially value feedback with respect to project performance parameters such as product's functionality, budget, and schedule. On the other hand¸ financial rewards, especially if they are linked to performance, also is a form of feedback on the individual's performance. Further, employees are motivated only when performance is linked to rewards. (Armstrong, 2003).

CONCLUSION

Motivating project workers is an important aspect of managing projects successfully. While there have been few rigorous and comprehensive studies on work motivation in case of temporary organizations, there is a need to consolidate this research, and revisit the theoretical underpinnings of work motivation in the context of temporary organizations. Based on an extensive review of theory and literature, and empirical analysis, we find that work motivation in case of temporary organizations is not very dissimilar from permanent organizations. Our study reveals that nature of work, the project worker's intrinsic motivation, and proclivity for collegiality, financial, and non-financial incentives are motivating to project workers. Theoretically, these findings also give us an opportunity to bring work motivation research in project management on par with the ongoing research in I/O psychology literature. Thus, it addresses the research gaps pertaining to the theoretical rigor and operational definition of work motivation in case of temporary organizations.

*PM World **Journal***
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net

Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation
in Temporary Organizations
Second Edition   by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

REFERENCES

Andersen J. (2010). An Empirical Study of Design Management Practices in Collaborative Design and Construction Projects- The Roles, Activities and Conceptions of Design Management Across Project Stages, and within the building. Civil, and Process Sectors of a construction company, PhD Thesis-School of Engineering, University of Queensland

Armstrong, M.(2003). A handbook of human resource management practice (9th Ed). London: Kogan Page

Badir, Y.F., Buechel, B., Tucci, C.L. (2012). A conceptual framework of the impact of NPD project team and leader empowerment on communication and performance: An Alliance Context, International Journal of Project Management, 30(8): 914-926

Björklund, T.A. (2010). Enhancing creative knwoledge-work: challenges and points of leverage, International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, 31(3): 517-525

Campion, M.A. (1988). Interdisciplinary approaches to job design: A constructive replications with extensions. Journal of Applied Psychology, 55: 175-181

Campion, M.A., Thayer, P.W. (1985). Development and field evaluation of an interdisciplinary measure of job design. Journal of Applied Psychology, 70: 29-43

Champoux, J. (1980). A three sample test of some extensions to the job characterstic model of work motivation, Academy of Management Journal, 466-78

Cherns, A. (1976). The principles of sociotechnical design. Human Relations, 29(8): 783-792

Edwards, J.R. (2011).Construct Validation in Organizational Behavior Research. In J.Greenberg (Ed. 2003)Organizational Behavior: The State of the Science (2nd Ed,pp-327-371),NJ:Erlbaum

Emery, F.E., & Emery, E. (1976). A Choice of Futures, Leiden: Martinus Niijhoff

Hackman, J. R., Oldham, G.R. (1975). Development of Job Diagnostic Survey, Journal of Applied Psychology, 60, 159-170

Heimgärtner, R., Windl, H., Solanki, A. (2011). Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 6769 LNCS (Part 1), 62-68

Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., Snyderman, B.B. (1959).The Motivation to Work, NY: John Wiley

Iacobucci, D., churchill, G.A. (2010). Marketing Research-Methodological Foundations, Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning

Kanfer, R. (1990). Motivation theory and idustrial and organizational psychology. In M.D. Dunnett (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology (Vol 1. 2nd Ed, pp.75-130), Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press

Karasek, R.A. (1979). Job demands, job decision latitude, and mental strain: Implications for job redesign, Administrative Science Quarterly, 24, 285-308

Kerzner, H. (2013). Project Management: A systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling, New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc

Kluger, A.N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: Historical review, a meta-analysis and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. Psychological Bulletin, 119, 254–284

Latham, G.P., Pinder, C.C. 2005. Work motivation theory and research at the dawn of the twenty-first century. Annual Review of Psychology, 56, 495-516

Leung, M-Y., Chan,Y.S.I,,Dongyu, C. (2011).Structural linear relationships between job stress, burnout, physiological stress, and performance of construction project managers, *Engineering Construction and Architectural Management*, 18(3):312-328

PM World Journal   *Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation*
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015   *in Temporary Organizations*
www.pmworldjournal.net   Second Edition   by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

Li, H., Bingham, J.B., Umphress, E.E. (2007). Fairness from the top: Perceived procedural justice and collaborative problem solving in New Product Development, Organization Science, 18, 200-216

Ling, F.Y.Y., Loo, C.M.C. (2014). Characteristics of Jobs and Job Holders that Affect Job Satisfaction and Work Performance of Project Managers, *Journal of Management Engineering,* 31(3): 04014047

Locke, E.A., Latham, G.P. (1990). A theory of goal setting and task performance, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall

Mahoney, R.C., Lederer, A.L. (2006).The effect of intrinsic and extrinsic rewards for developers on information systems project success. Project Management Journal, 37(4): 42-55

Moorehead, G., & Griffin, R.W. (1998). Organizational Behavior: Managing people and organizations (5th Ed.), Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin

Morgeson, F.P., Humphrey, S.E. (2006). The work design questionnaire (WDQ): Developing and validating a comprehensive measure for assessing job design and the nature of work. Journal of Applied Psychology, 91, 1321-29

Neshiem, T., Smith, J. (2015). Knowledge Sharing in Projects: Does Employment Arrangement Matter, *Personnel Review*, 44(2):224-269

Parker, S.K., Wall, T.D., Cordery, J.L. (2001). Future work design research and practice: Towards an elaborated model of work design, Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 74: 413-440

Parker, S.K., Wall, T.D., Cordery, J.L. (2001). Future work design research and practice: Towards an elaborated model of work design, Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 74: 413-440

Paul, W.J.Jr., Robertson, K.B., Herzberg, F. (1969). Job enrichment pays off. Harvard Business Review, 47: 61-78

Pierce, J.L., Gardner, D.G., Dunham, R.B., Cummings, L.L. (1993). Moderation by Organization-Based Self-Esteem of Role Condition-Employee Response Relationships, The Academy of Management Journal, 36(2):271-288

Pinder, C. C. (1988). Work Motivation in Organizational Behavior, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall

Polesie, P. (2013). The View of Freedom and Standardization Among Project Managers in Swedish Construction, *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(2):299-306

Procaccino, J.D., Verner, J.M., and Lorenzet, S.J. (2006). Defining and Contributing to Software Development Success: Determining the Process-Related components affecting Software Developers' Perception of Project Success. Communications of the ACM, 49 (8): 79-83

Rockeach, M. (1973). The Nature of Human Values, NY: The Free Press

Rose, T.M., Manley, K. (2010). Motivation toward financial incentive goals on construction projects. Journal of Business Research, 765-773

Schmid, B., Adams, J. (2008). Motivation in project management: The project manager's perspective. Project Management Journal, 39(2): 60-71

Sieler, S., Lent, B. , Pinkowska, M., Pinaza, M. (2012). An Integrated Model of Factors Influencing Project Managers' Moivation-Findings from a Swiss Survey, International Journal of Project Management, 30 , 60-72

Taylor, F.W. (1911). The Principles of Scientific Management, New York: Harper

Trist, E., Bamforth, W. (1951). Some social and psychological consequences of the long wall method of coal getting, Human Relations, 4, 3-38

Turner, R., Lloyd-Walker, B. (2008). Emotional Intelligence (EI) capabilities training: Can it develop EI in project teams? International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, 1(4):512-534

PM World Journal
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net

*Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation
in Temporary Organizations*
Second Edition   by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

Zika-Viktorsson, A., Sundtrsom, P., Engwall, M. (2006). Project Overload: An exploratory study of work and management in multi project settings. International Journal of Project Management, 24(5): 385-394

Zwikael, O., Unger-Aviram, E. (2009). HRM in project groups: The effect of project duration on team development effectiveness, International Journal of Project Management, 28(5): 413-421

PM World Journal
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net
Second Edition

Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation in Temporary Organizations
by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

About the Authors

Dr. Ravikiran Dwivedua

Dubai, UAE

Dr. Ravikiran Dwivedula (Ravi) is an Associate Professor of Management, and MBA Program Director with American College of Dubai, UAE. His research interests are broadly in the area of Work Motivation, and Employee Commitment in Temporary Organizations. His teaches Human Resource Management, Organization Behavior, and Project Management. He has been a contributor and reviewer for major project management journals such as Project Management Journal, and International Journal of Project Management. He has also presented and reviewed papers for major research conferences including PMI Research Conferences, EURAM, and IRNOP. He can be contacted at dvsravikiran@gmail.com

Prof Christophe Bredillet

France

Professor Christophe N. Bredillet, PhD, D.Sc., IPMA Level A, FAPM is professor of project management at Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières (UQTR). He is the Scientific Director, Société française pour l¹avancement du Management de Projet (SMaP) and adjunct Professor at Queensland University of Technology' (QUT) Project Management Academy. He specializes in the fields of Portfolio, Program & Project Management (P3M). From 2012 to 2015, he was the Director of the QUT Project Management Academy. Before joining QUT, he was Senior Consultant World Bank and, from 1992 to 2010, he was the Dean of Postgraduate Programs and Professor of Strategic Management and P3M at ESC Lille. His main interests and research activities are in the field of Philosophy of Science and Practice in P3M, including dynamic of evolution of the field, bodies of knowledge, standards, and their link with capability development, capacity building, governance and performance. He was Executive Editor of Project Management Journal® between 2004 and 2012. In 2012, he received the prestigious Manfred Saynish Foundation for Project Management (MSPM) – Project Management Innovation Award for his contribution to a philosophy of science with respect to complex project management. He can be contacted at cnbredillet@hotmail.com

PM World *Journal*
Vol. IV, Issue IX – September 2015
www.pmworldjournal.net

Towards an Understanding of Work Motivation
in Temporary Organizations
Second Edition   by Dwivedula, Bredillet, Müller

Dr Ralf Müller

Norway

Dr Ralf Müller is Professor of Project Management and former Associate Dean at BI Norwegian Business School, as well as adjunct and visiting professor at many institutions worldwide. He lectures and researches in leadership, governance of projects, organizational project management, and research methods. He is the (co-)author of more than 160 academic publications. Among the many awards he received is the 2015 PMI Research Achievement Award (a life-time achievement award), and the 2012 IPMA Research Award, which he received together with Monique Aubry and Brian Hobbs. Before joining academia, he spent 30 years in the industry consulting with large enterprises and governments in more than 50 different countries for their project management and governance. He also held related line management positions, such as the Worldwide Director of Project Management at NCR Corporation.

Professor Müller can be contacted at pmconcepts.ab@gmail.com