Project management lessons from the Phoenix HR/payroll system fiasco ¹

Yogi Schulz

The long-running story of the ailing <u>Phoenix payment system</u> is a critical case study for project managers assigned to overhaul complex applications in the portfolio of systems supporting their organization. Phoenix started with good intentions to update the Canadian federal civil servants' HR/payroll system and save operating costs.

Here's a synopsis of the circumstances that led to the fiasco. These events occur too often in most organizations and lead to similar devastating debacles.

How complicated can this be?

Often, organizations only have a superficial understanding of the complexities an information technology project will encounter at the beginning when the project is green-lighted. This lack of scope and issue knowledge leads to underfunded and under-resourced projects.

Projects typically encounter issues rarely listed in the project charter document that describes the basis for project approval. The surprise issues include:

- Unexpected business process changes.
- Much more effort for people change management.
- Difficulties with vendor management.
- Significant gaps in data quality.
- Unanticipated complexity in integrating the new system with other systems in the portfolio.

The Phoenix project appears to have been surprised by the appearance of all these issues.

The better way for project managers to proceed is to:

- Allocate more effort to detailed planning.
- Consider a prototype or test rollout before committing to implementing the new system.
- Query the state of data quality in data sources.
- Stage the rollout of the new system by department or region.
- Always avoid a single organization-wide rollout.

¹ How to cite this article: Schulz, Y. (2024). Project management lessons from the Phoenix HR/payroll system fiasco, commentary, *PM World Journal*, Vol. XIII, Issue IV, April.

The business case proved to be a mirage

In many organizations, information technology projects require a significant or astounding business case to achieve approval. This expectation leads to an overestimation of benefits and an underestimation of costs in project charters.

The Phoenix project was no exception. The business case included the following:

- Significant reduction in HR/payroll staff required to operate the system.
- More modern information technology to reduce software maintenance costs and better support HR/payroll business requirements.
- Improved timeliness and accuracy in paying civil servants.

The Phoenix project achieved none of these business case objectives. <u>Costs increased</u>, and the timeliness and accuracy of civil servant pay decreased.

The better way for project managers to proceed is to:

- Split the business case into tangible benefits with estimated amounts and intangible benefits without amounts. Don't accept numeric estimates for intangible benefits.
- Ask yourself if you'd still find the business case appealing if the estimated costs double.
 Base your approval or disapproval on your answer.
- Recognize that some projects must be undertaken regardless of the business case or risk.

Who is making decisions?

In many organizations, it's unclear who will make which of the many project decisions that must be made. Governments place considerable emphasis on consensus decision-making. In governments and many larger organizations, it's considered prudent for middle management not to be identified with significant decisions, especially when they can be assessed as wrong later.

Drawn-out decision-making and ducking decisions added billions of dollars to the cost of the Phoenix project.

The better way for project managers to proceed is to:

- Ensure a project sponsor with genuine authority is in place.
- Collaborate with the project sponsor to select a steering committee of business leaders to support the project sponsor.
- Appoint team leaders with sufficient experience.
- Resource the project team adequately with business and information technology staff.
- Have the project sponsor seek a commitment from the executive team to support these individuals.

Business complexity results in errors

In many organizations, senior management is not aware of the operational complexity of their businesses. As a result, they are highly skeptical about high costs, long estimated elapsed time and excessive proposed resource consumption of project proposals. Knowing this phenomenon, middle management tends to submit highly optimistic project plans and sometimes bludgeons the IT department to accept unrealistic estimates.

After approval, the Phoenix project discovered that many federal employees had been paid inaccurately for many years because:

- The high complexity of the provisions in various union and bargaining unit agreements caused different HR/payroll groups across Canada to interpret these complex provisions differently.
- The old HR/payroll system could not support the high complexity of the payment agreements. This reality caused HR/payroll groups across Canada to make various simplifying assumptions to ensure civil servants were paid at least some amount.

The resulting pay anomalies and inequities have caused civil servants to launch a <u>class action</u> <u>lawsuit</u>. The federal government subsequently signed a <u>damages agreement</u>.

While most organizations won't face lawsuits, the better way for project managers to proceed is to:

- Ensure all stakeholders understand that a guiding project principle is simplifying business processes. It's not adding more complexity to business processes.
- Ensure all stakeholders understand that a guiding project principle is revising business processes to those expected by software packages. It's not changing software packages to conform to existing business processes.

It's rarely about the technology

Too many organizations view information technology projects as technology projects that the IT department can handle with little or no departmental or executive involvement. This misunderstanding leads to technically superior systems with poor support of the business requirements and inadequate data.

The Phoenix system encountered performance issues as the number of staff hired to fix a mountain of data issues consumed more computing resources than planned.

The better way for project managers to proceed is to:

- Avoid emerging information technology that hasn't yet been tested and proven in a real-world implementation.
- Ensure the project focuses on business processes and people change management issues.

- Veto the desires of techies who want to play with the latest technology.
- Accept the computing infrastructure recommendations of the experts.

The lessons from the Phoenix project reaffirm that detailed planning and analyzing the complexity of factors significantly reduce risk when overhauling aging systems. Despite their name, information technology projects are usually about business transformation. As such, these projects require continuing management guidance. Good intentions are not enough.

About the Author



Yogi Schulz
Calgary, Alberta, Canada



Yogi Schulz has over 40 years of Information Technology experience in various industries. Yogi works extensively in the petroleum industry to select and implement financial, production revenue accounting, land & contracts and geotechnical systems. He manages projects that arise from changes in business requirements, from the need to leverage technology opportunities and from mergers. His specialties include IT strategy, web strategy and systems project management.

Mr. Schulz regularly speaks to industry groups and writes a regular column for IT World Canada and for Engineering.com. He has written for Microsoft.com and the Calgary Herald. His writing focuses on project management and IT developments of interest to management. Mr. Schulz served as a member of the Board of Directors of the PPDM Association for twenty years until 2015. Learn more at https://www.corvelle.com/. He can be contacted at yogischulz@corvelle.com.

His new book, co-authored by Jocelyn Schulz Lapointe, is "<u>A Project Sponsor's Warp-Speed Guide: Improving Project Performance</u>."