Job-Ready at Every Stage:

What Every Generation of Project Managers Gets Wrong About Career Resilience [1]

William E. Hefley, PhD
Clinical Professor Emeritus, Information Systems and Healthcare Management
Naveen Jindal School of Management, UT Dallas

Anna Ladipo, MBA, PMP, PMI-ACP, CSM
Associate Professor of Practice & Academic Director, Executive Education PM Certificate Program
Naveen Jindal School of Management, University of Texas at Dallas

Abstract

The project management profession has never been more credentialed or more challenged. AI-powered automation, financial volatility, organizational restructuring, and a four-generation workforce have converged to create a career landscape that rewards continuous reinvention and punishes professional complacency. Yet most project managers approach career transitions reactively rather than strategically, discovering the gap between their professional preparation and the current market only after they are already in it. This paper, co-authored by a Clinical Professor Emeritus with decades of experience in PM and workforce development education and an academic director who trains and observes the next generation of PM professionals entering the workforce, examines what career resilience requires in today's environment and what each generation of project managers systematically underestimates. Drawing on a panel discussion at the 2026 UTD Project Management Symposium, practitioner and academic observations spanning multiple economic cycles, and prior research on multigenerational PM workforce dynamics (Morlan & Gelbtuch, 2016; Gelbtuch & Morlan, 2010), the paper offers a multigenerational framework for staying job-ready, competitive, and confident through career transitions of all types. It provides practical strategies, a generational strengths matrix, and a transition framework.

Keywords: *career resilience, multigenerational workforce, job-ready, project management careers, career transitions, professional development, Gen Z, Baby Boomers, Gen X, PMI, AI in project management, generational competence*

[1] How to cite this paper: Hefley, W. E. and Ladipo, A. (2026). Job-Ready at Every Stage: What Every Generation of Project Managers Gets Wrong About Career Resilience; *PM World Journal*, Vol. XV, Issue XI, November.

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

1. Introduction: The Career Readiness Gap

The project management profession is at an inflection point. PMI membership spans more than 200 countries; the PMP credential has become a near-universal signal of professional seriousness; and project management is now taught in graduate business programs at leading research universities worldwide. Yet a significant number of working project managers — at every career stage — are facing a widening gap between how they have thought about career readiness and what the current market requires.

That gap came into sharp focus at the 2026 UTD Project Management Symposium, where a panel of professionals representing Boomer, Gen X, and Gen Z perspectives discussed career transitions in a challenging job market. The session — moderated by one of the authors of this paper and drawing on panelists' lived experience across independent consulting, enterprise IT, accessibility and AI governance, and aerospace engineering — triggered a candid, engaged conversation with an audience of students, early-career PMs, mid-career professionals, and senior leaders. The audience Q&A that followed surfaced questions reflecting real professional uncertainty: How do I reposition after a layoff? How do I explain a gap? Is it safer to specialize or generalize right now? What will matter most in two to three years?

Those questions do not have simple answers, but they do have honest ones. This paper offers: a multigenerational, practitioner-grounded perspective on what career readiness requires right now — and what each generation of project managers tends to underestimate.

This paper builds off prior work examining generational trends in the project management profession. Morlan and Gelbtuch (2016) identified "generational competence" — drawing on Seitel's (2005) foundational definition of "the changes that organizations and individuals must make in order to fulfill the different needs of the four generations in today's workforce and marketplace" — as a critical leadership skill for PMs managing multigenerational teams. Their research identified three dimensions of generational difference that consistently affect PM effectiveness: hierarchy and authority, time management, and communication method (Gelbtuch & Morlan, 2010). Whereas that work focused on managing generational diversity within project teams, this paper expands the lens to the career dimension: how each generation moves through the market itself and where each is most likely to get stuck.

Job-readiness, it turns out, is not a state you reach once and maintain. It is a practice you sustain continuously. And the most important finding from the panel conversation, from practitioner and academic observation across multiple economic cycles, is this: every generation has a different blind spot about what that practice demands. Naming those blind spots honestly — and providing useful techniques for addressing them — is the purpose of this paper.

"Job-readiness is not a milestone you reach. It is a practice you maintain — and every generation of project managers has a different blind spot about what that practice actually demands."

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

2. The New Career Reality for Project Managers

2.1 Three Converging Disruptions

The professional challenge project managers are navigating is not simply a difficult job market. It is a genuine convergence of three simultaneous disruptions , which are altering what it means to be employable in this profession, and they are hitting at the same time.

The first is machine learning automation. Project management has not been immune to the wave of AI tools transforming knowledge work. Scheduling optimization, risk forecasting, resource assignment, and status reporting — tasks which once required significant practitioner time — are increasingly handled by AI-enabled platforms. This does not make project managers obsolete. It changes what project managers must excel at. AI is automating the routine and mechanical. What remains — and becomes more valuable — is judgment, stakeholder navigation, organizational alignment, and the human dimensions of leading teams through complexity.

Anna's Voice	*The speed of this shift is worth naming directly. The last time I was leading programs in a corporate environment was 2023. Even in the short time since then, the adoption of AI tools across organizations has accelerated in ways I did not fully anticipate. Colleagues and practitioners I speak with regularly describe a workplace where AI-generated outputs are now a baseline expectation in many delivery contexts — not a differentiator, but a starting point. The PMs who are thriving are not those who resisted these tools, but those who developed the judgment to interrogate their outputs and apply them appropriately. That is a different skill than the tools themselves, and it is one that no AI platform can supply.*

The second disruption is economic and organizational volatility. Waves of corporate restructuring have reached sectors and seniority levels once considered stable. Project managers who had spent their careers in organizations that valued tenure found themselves navigating job markets they had not entered for years or decades. The skills required to manage a project and those required to manage a job search are entirely different—and few organizations prepare people for the latter until the former suddenly becomes unavailable.

The third disruption is a fundamental shift in what employers and clients expect from PM professionals. Conversations with working project managers and independent consultants reveal a consistent pattern: the bar for entry has risen, while in some cases the compensation for contract work has compressed. Recruiters who once focused primarily on credentials and delivery track records are increasingly asking for evidence of thought leadership — publications, speaking engagements, professional community contributions, and personal brand recognition. For independent consultants in particular, the expectation that a practitioner will have a documented professional presence beyond their résumé marks a meaningful shift from pre-pandemic norms.

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

2.2 What the Credential Economy Gets Wrong

The project management profession has invested heavily in credential infrastructure — and that investment has delivered real value. The PMP, PMI-ACP, CAPM, and dozens of specialized certifications provide professionals with a common language, a shared framework, and a recognized signal of competence.

But the credential economy has also promoted a dangerous assumption: that credentialing equals readiness. It does not. A credential confirms that a professional demonstrated knowledge of PM frameworks at a point in time. It says nothing about whether that professional is able to navigate the informal power dynamics of a new organization, communicate credibly at the executive level, adapt to a rapidly changing environment, or recover strategically from an unexpected career disruption.

Bill's Voice	*The market sees experienced and often credentialed individuals moving into new positions. What it does not account for is the need for these experienced professionals to learn and understand the context of the new organization, its culture and ways of doing things — all of which are being tossed and buffeted by both market pressures and by technological change, often embodied through known AI or by shadow AI that people are using with or without management approval and controls. Even experienced individuals are commenting today that the rate of change is dizzying, and the PM must keep up, or stay ahead of that. Budget pressures, time to market, and the need to show results require that project managers move from being those who can plan and execute projects to being leaders, communicators, and influencers who can deliver value.*

These capacities determine career resilience. They cannot be certified. You can develop them only through practice, honest reflection, mentorship, and sustained engagement with the professional community.

3. What Each Generation Gets Wrong

Understanding career resilience across generations requires resisting the temptation to flatten generational differences into stereotypes—or to dismiss them as irrelevant. As Morlan and Gelbtuch (2016) observed, generations are defined less by birth years and more by the shared experiences and key events of their formative years—what researchers call the "Cohort Effect": the shared experiences that make one group distinct from others (Heffner, 2014). Generational patterns that shape career behavior are real, and acknowledging them isn't reductive. It is useful.

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

Dimension	Baby Boomers (1946–1964)	Generation X (1965–1980)	Millennials & Gen Z (1981–2012)
Core career asset	Deep institutional knowledge, network gravity, credentialing legacy built over decades of practice	Cross-paradigm fluency; battle-tested through multiple recessions and technology shifts	Digital nativity, AI fluency, purpose-driven career orientation
Relationship to PM credentials	PMP as career milestone; earned over years of practice and sustained through community leadership	PMP as professional currency; pragmatic about recertification and continuous learning	Credentials valued but increasingly insufficient without portfolio evidence and demonstrated capability
Key adaptation challenge	Translating deep expertise into visible, searchable professional presence in a digital market	Leveraging bridge-builder positioning between analog wisdom and digital fluency for both sides	Building the judgment and pattern recognition that only comes from navigating real organizational complexity
Greatest contribution to others	Sponsorship, network access, and context that cannot be Googled or generated by AI	Translation across generational and technological divides; mentorship in both directions	Reverse mentorship on tools, platforms, and emerging professional norms; fresh perspective on entrenched practices

3.1 What Boomers Underestimate

Bill's Voice	*Many experienced PMs systematically underestimate how the hiring process has changed in the current market. Yes, all of the old barriers are there. And new barriers, like ageism or assumptions that experienced professionals can only do old things, appear. But the speed — or slowness — of hiring, the ghosting, the ATS systems that eviscerate candidates and send their applications into a black hole, are all real. Many of the things that these experienced people have known for years — and that we try to instill in our upcoming graduates — are still important keystones. Have a good resume. Show your results, not just a lengthy list of skills. Nobody cares that you have experience with tools last seen on display at the Smithsonian. But how did you adapt, deliver under pressure, grow teams, mentor individuals? And how are you using your communications and people skills to network and connect to find that next position? Students of mine are shocked when I tell them that just about the only applications I have submitted in the last 40 years fell into two categories: the black hole of ghosting, or they were submitted for a job I had already connected on and was being invited to interview for. How are these experienced people making themselves visible, beyond doing their everyday excellent work?*

From academic and practitioner perspectives, the most consistent pattern among experienced PMs re-entering the market is what might be called the visibility problem. Deep expertise that isn't

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

publicly documented—through writing, speaking, LinkedIn presence, or professional community participation—is effectively invisible to the hiring algorithms, recruiters, and professional networks that now mediate most career opportunities. The institutional memory that a 30-year PM carries is genuinely irreplaceable. But irreplaceable knowledge that cannot be found is not findable.

3.2 What Gen X Underestimates

Generation X practitioners occupy a structurally advantageous position in the current professional moment — and most of them do not fully realize it. They grew up professionally in a world that required steady adaptation. They have managed the transition from physical to digital project management tools, from waterfall to agile methodologies, from local to global teams, through at least two major recessions, and now through a pandemic-driven reshaping of how work itself is organized. That history has produced a pragmatic fortitude that neither Boomers nor younger generations have in quite the same form.

Gen X tends to underestimate the power of the bridge-building role they are uniquely positioned to play—and how much that role calls for deliberate visibility to be professionally valuable. Gen X practitioners who actively mentor younger colleagues, translate institutional wisdom into accessible frameworks, and connect generational communities within the profession provide enormous value. Most do it quietly, without recognition, and without leveraging it in their career narrative. That is a missed opportunity for both them and the profession.

Anna's Voice	*I sit squarely in the Gen X cohort and I recognize this pattern in myself and in peers. The same adaptability that has carried us through multiple career pivots can also make us underestimate how much of our hard-won knowledge is invisible to others. Writing — whether articles, LinkedIn posts, or published work — is the mechanism through which that knowledge becomes findable, citable, and professionally visible. It is not self-promotion. It is professional translation.*

3.3 What Millennials and Gen Z Underestimate

The newest generation of PM professionals offers genuine strengths: digital fluency, comfort with ambiguity, strong personal-branding instincts, and a purpose-driven orientation that is changing organizational culture in productive ways. They are also entering a job market that is both more competitive and more credentialed than any their predecessors navigated.

This generation most consistently underestimates how AI tools amplify judgment rather than replace it. A risk register is only as good as the human assessment that interrogates its assumptions, challenges its completeness, and applies it to the specific organizational and cultural context of a real project. The tool does not know what it does not know. The PM must.

Professional maturity comes only from sustained experience leading teams through genuine organizational complexity—the sort where stakeholder dynamics are not hypothetical, staff

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

members face competing pressures and loyalties, and leading a group toward strategic outcomes requires more than a clearly defined project charter. Managing and inspiring teams to deliver organizational strategies is a craft that develops over time through both success and failure. You can't compress it into a credential, and you can't delegate it to a tool. It is what separates project coordinators from project leaders—and it's what senior level are looking for.

Anna's Voice	*In my PM Leadership course, I require students to use multiple AI tools for the same predictive analytics exercise and compare outputs in class. The exercise is deliberately designed to surface disagreement between tools — because the learning moment is not the AI's answer. It is the student's ability to interrogate why the answers differ, what that means for the decision at hand, and how to bring a team along in a direction that no algorithm selected for them. That is the skill the market will pay for.*

4. What "Job-Ready" Actually Means Right Now

If credentials are necessary but insufficient, what does genuine job-readiness require? Based on combined experience — decades of practitioner and academic observation across multiple economic cycles — we identify five dimensions that no credential directly measures but that consistently distinguish the professionals who transition well from those who do not.

4.1 Strategic Self-Positioning

The most job-ready professionals have developed a clear, current, and compelling answer to the question: what specific value do I create, for what kinds of organizations, in what kinds of situations? This is not an elevator pitch. It is a genuine strategic self-assessment, updated regularly, and based on evidence. Most professionals do this work only under duress — when they are already in transition. Those who maintain it continuously are never caught unprepared.

4.2 Network Depth, Not Network Size

LinkedIn connections are an address book, not a network. A genuine professional network consists of people who will take your call, know your work well enough to advocate for you specifically, and whose professional judgment the people you want to reach respect. Building that kind of network requires consistent investment over time — not intensive cultivation only during job searches.

Bill's Voice	*When you have been heads-down in execution and delivery for so long, and suddenly realize that your network has atrophied, you have to address that visibility issue. Is your LinkedIn profile up to date? Does it show your impact record? Does it really describe you and your accomplishments, or does it just describe the set of tools and techniques you've mastered through the years? Are you reacquainting yourself with old friends or connections, as well as building new ones? Are you mentoring the next generation? Are you always being your authentic self — not just in the office, but in meetups, professional society events, local events, and even in your social, non-profit volunteer*

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

	or religious settings. One of my interesting research collaborations developed over conversations that happened on a public bus coming back from the airport. I didn't know the other party and they didn't know me, but we began talking over common ground and they expressed a block that they were facing in their work. They didn't know people in a particular lab. I was affiliated with that lab and offered to make introductions; over time, they invited me to join with them on their work. Remember, networking is not like oil and gas exploration and drilling — all taking and no giving — you must truly interact with people and make those investments. They pay off.

4.3 Demonstrated AI Literacy

AI literacy is rapidly moving from differentiator to table-stakes expectation in PM hiring. But there is an important difference between knowing AI tools exist and being able to demonstrate how you use them to make better decisions. The latter does not require just tool familiarity but the critical thinking skills to evaluate AI outputs, identify their limitations, and integrate them appropriately into PM workflows — at every career stage.

4.4 Narrative Ownership

Every career — including those interrupted by layoffs, pivots, caregiving, or other life events — contains a clear story when framed intentionally. Professionals who struggle most in transitions often haven't developed an account that honestly acknowledges the interruption while directing attention to what it reveals about their fortitude as well as growth. Narrative ownership is not spin. It is the genuine work of making meaning from professional experience in a way that is both honest and strategically useful.

Anna's Voice	*I wrote about this directly in my PM World Journal article 'Pivoting with Purpose' (2024). The act of writing that article was itself an exercise in narrative ownership — forcing me to find the coherence in a non-linear path that, from the inside, had often felt uncertain. That coherence was always there. Writing surfaced it — for me and for others.*

4.5 Community Participation

The most job-ready professionals in our combined experience are almost always those who are actively present in the professional community — attending PMI chapter events, presenting at symposia, adding to professional publications, mentoring newer practitioners, or leading community undertakings. Community participation demonstrates competence in context rather than simply claiming it on a résumé. It is also the mechanism through which generational knowledge transfer happens at scale — a point that Morlan and Gelbtuch (2016) identified as central to successful multigenerational PM leadership.

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

5. Managing the Four Transition Types

Career transitions in project management cluster around four distinct scenarios, each with its own emotional texture, strategic requirements, and common mistakes. The table below summarizes what we have observed across all four:

Transition type	Most common pitfall	Recommended strategy
Layoff / restructuring	Treating the job search as a sprint rather than a campaign; updating the résumé but not the professional narrative	Reframe the transition as a strategic program with multiple parallel projects: pivot, preparation, and pursuit. Reconnect with your network before submitting a single application
Career pivot	Assuming credentials transfer automatically across fields without building visible credibility bridges in the target domain	Identify the transferable PM competencies that are genuinely field-agnostic and lead with those; invest in community presence in the new domain before making the leap
New graduate entry	Competing on credentials alone in a market that increasingly values demonstrated capability and portfolio evidence	Build applied PM work before graduation through volunteer leadership, student chapter involvement, and academic projects with real deliverables and measurable outcomes
Workforce re-entry	Underestimating the credential and network gap; apologizing for the gap rather than reframing it as a period of growth	Recertify first, reconnect second, apply third; use the recertification process as a natural re-engagement with the professional community

5.1 Handling a Layoff

The most common and costly mistake in post-layoff job searches is applying before the narrative is ready—updating a résumé and starting to apply within days, before the professional has honestly assessed what they want next, refreshed their positioning, and reconnected with their network. The professionals who recover most effectively treat the transition not simply as a project but as a program.

Bill's Voice	*Transitioning can be viewed as a project, but I advise people to view it as a program — a collection of related projects all serving the common purpose of moving you through your transition and into the new position. Several projects encompassing pivot, preparation and polish, and pursuit. One early, short-lived project should be to mourn and grieve. This is necessary to pivot from the negative feelings of loss to the positive view of what you can bring to a new organization. Those who get trapped in this situation and do not pivot, often stay trapped and don't move forward. It is okay to acknowledge that a change has happened, but this pivot project has to end and you move forward with a resolve to engage in several sprints in the preparation project: audit your impact record, update your resume if it isn't already, refresh your LinkedIn*

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

	and professional visibility, and reconnect with your network. This project lets you polish your visibility and prepare the materials needed for reconnecting and pursuit. Reconnecting may be several sprints. Are there opportunities to enhance your professional visibility? Attend meetups or professional meetings to gain visibility and refresh and grow your network? Then there is the project many jump into directly — seeking the new job.

5.2 Making a Career Pivot

PM competencies transfer across industries and functional domains. What makes pivots difficult is not the skills gap — it is the credibility gap. The strategy is to develop credibility bridges before making the leap: volunteer leadership in cross-sector professional organizations, publications that demonstrate knowledge of the target domain, and informational relationships with practitioners already working in it.

Anna's Voice	*My own pivot from independent consulting to academia was years in the making, not a single decision. I began teaching before I had an academic appointment, built a body of published work, and developed relationships within academic PM networks long before I applied for a faculty role. The pivot did not feel like a job search. It felt like a natural next step in a trajectory that I had been quietly building for some time. That is what credibility bridge-building looks like from the inside.*

5.3 New Graduate Entry

New graduates entering the PM profession today face credential inflation, AI tools that raise the performance floor for routine tasks, and employer expectations for demonstrated capability rather than potential. Those who navigate it most successfully have built a portfolio of applied project management work before graduation — not theoretical assignments, but real project leadership with real deliverables and definable outcomes.

5.4 Workforce Re-Entry

Re-entry after an absence is perhaps the most emotionally complex transition type because it requires confronting a credential gap, a network gap, and a confidence gap at once. The most counterintuitive but consistently effective strategy: recertify before applying. The recertification process re-engages the professional with the PM community in a purposeful context —and the professional who re-enters having just recertified is more current, more connected, and more confident.

6. Cross-Generational Mentorship: The Career Resilience Multiplier

If there is a single structural investment that most reliably accelerates career resilience across all four transition types and all generational cohorts, it is cross-generational mentorship — practiced bidirectionally, not as a one-way transfer from senior to junior.

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

6.1 Mentorship vs. Sponsorship

A mentor advises. A sponsor advocates. Mentors help you think through decisions and develop your skills. Sponsors open doors and put their reputation behind your capabilities in rooms you aren't in yet. Both matter. Both require intentional cultivation. Experienced practitioners are more likely to provide sponsorship, while newer practitioners often offer reverse mentorship on tools and emerging norms. Recognizing this asymmetry and building relationships that deliberately leverage it is one of the highest-value professional investments.

Bill's Voice	*In my career, I've had the benefit of both mentors and sponsors, but I've also learned early on that I do not need to be the smartest person in the room. It is good to have newer practitioners who can not only perform but also ask questions and bring new viewpoints to work. They also bring a passion for trying new things and a desire to accomplish and be recognized. We can learn from them. One of my former junior staff went on to write a wildly successful textbook. From having had mentors and sponsors who both guided and opened doors, I learned to give back to those around me. One of the distinctions between these two that I have seen and try to put into practice is that a mentor or coach can provide advice or suggest guidance. But a sponsor takes action to do that and support your visibility, connecting and even opening doors; they advocate for you. One amazing set of projects that I had the opportunity to contribute to came directly as a result of one sponsor handpicking staff for a crucial business capture team to win and execute almost a billion dollars of business. I always get asked about what happened to my team when I was reassigned. I had built resilience into our staff: I had hired senior folks who could do or had already done my job. One of those two replaced me when I was reassigned, and within a year, the other was leading his own team in another part of the business.*

6.2 Reverse Mentorship

Reverse mentorship—in which a newer or younger professional teaches a more senior one—remains underutilized in the PM profession despite its documented value. New graduates who teach experienced practitioners how to use AI tools effectively, build and maintain professional visibility, and engage with emerging professional communities provide significant value. The cultural barrier is that many experienced practitioners are reluctant to signal unfamiliarity, and many newer professionals are reluctant to position themselves as having something to teach their seniors. Professional communities that explicitly normalize reverse mentorship accelerate capability development at both ends of the generational spectrum.

7. Recommendations

7.1 For Individual Practitioners

- Treat job-readiness as a continuous discipline, not a crisis response. Maintain your portfolio, narrative, and network regardless of your employment status.

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

- Honestly audit your generational blind spot. Boomers: invest in professional visibility. Gen X: make your bridge-building role explicit and documented. Millennials and Gen Z: invest in developing the judgment and team leadership depth that AI tools cannot replicate.
- Seek cross-generational relationships deliberately — as both giver and receiver. The career resilience you need exists in the generation adjacent to you.
- Write, publish, speak, and contribute. The most resilient professionals in career transitions almost always have a documented record of professional contribution that stands independently of their employment history.

7.2 For Academic Programs

- Integrate career resilience explicitly into PM curricula as a thread woven through every course, not a standalone module. Students should graduate knowing how to position themselves professionally, not just how to manage projects.
- Create structured, cross-generational learning experiences. The most valuable thing an academic PM program can offer is access to the pattern recognition of experienced practitioners and the digital fluency of students, in the same room.
- Require portfolio-building as part of the academic experience. Credentials without evidence are increasingly insufficient. Programs that help students build applied work portfolios before graduation offer a genuine competitive advantage.

7.3 For PMI and the Profession

- Develop explicit credential pathways that recognize career transitions, not just initial certification. A PM returning to the workforce after two years deserves a recertification pathway that acknowledges both the gap and the returning practitioner's depth of experience.
- Invest in cross-generational community infrastructure. Events, symposia, and publications that deliberately bring generations together to dialogue accelerate the knowledge transfer the profession needs.
- Recognize and amplify practitioner-scholar contributions. Professionals who practice project management while building the field's intellectual infrastructure are among the profession's most valuable assets.

8. Conclusion: The Profession That Takes Care of Its Own

Project management has built extraordinary infrastructure for credentialing its members. The opportunity now is to invest with equal intentionality in sustaining them — through the career transitions that every professional life inevitably includes, across every generational cohort, and at

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

every stage of a career that looks nothing like the linear path the credential frameworks had been designed for.

The professionals who manage those transitions most successfully are not necessarily the most credentialed. They are the most intentional: those who treat job-readiness as a continuous practice, build real relationships across generational lines, make their expertise visible through writing and community contribution, and develop the narrative self-awareness to frame their career path coherently — even when it has not developed as planned.

Each generation in the current PM workforce has something the others need. Boomers carry institutional wisdom and network gravity that cannot be downloaded. Gen X practitioners hold a bridge position between paradigms which defines this particular professional moment. Millennials and Gen Z bring the digital fluency, AI literacy, and innovative perspective that will shape project management practice for the next decade. The profession that fully leverages all three—through cross-generational mentorship, community investment, and genuine mutual respect—will be far more resilient than one that leaves each generation to navigate transitions alone.

We wrote this paper together because we believe the combination of these two perspectives—practitioner and academic wisdom across multiple economic cycles, and direct observation of PM professionals at every career stage—produces something neither of us could offer alone. We offer it in that spirit: as a practical contribution to a profession we both care about deeply.

"The credential gets you in the room. The community keeps you in the profession. And the generation that learns from the ones before it — and teaches the ones after it — is the one that builds something that lasts."

References

Curtis, B., Hefley, W.E., and Miller, S. (2009). *People CMM, Second Edition: A Framework for Human Capital Management*. Boston, MA: Addison Wesley.

Hefley, W. E. & Bottion, M. (2021). Skills of junior project management professionals and project success achieved by them. *International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management (IJISPM)*, 9(1), 56-75.

Khelifi, Y. (2026). Interview with Anna Ladipo. PM World Journal, Vol. XV, Issue III, March 2026. https://pmworldlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2026/03/pmwj162-Mar2026-Khelifi-Interview-with-Anna-Ladipo-UT-Dallas.pdf

Ladipo, A. (2024). Pivoting with Purpose: How I Used Project Management to Strategically Navigate Career Transitions. PM World Journal, Vol. XIII, Issue VII, July 2024. https://pmworldlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/pmwj143-Jul2024-Ladipo-pivoting-with-purpose-how-I-used-project-management.pdf

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; Policy and Global Affairs; Board on Higher Education and Workforce; Committee on Effective Mentoring in STEMM; Dahlberg ML, Byars-Winston A, editors. *The Science of Effective Mentorship in STEMM*. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2019 Oct 30. Chapter 2, The Science of Mentoring Relationships: What Is Mentorship? Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK552775/

Project Management Institute. (2025). PMBOK® Guide — Eighth Edition. PMI.

Project Management Institute. (2024). Pulse of the Profession. PMI.

Project Management Institute. (2023). PMI Talent Gap Report: Way Forward. PMI.

Watkins, M. (2003). The First 90 Days: Critical Success Strategies for New Leaders at All Levels. Harvard Business School Press.

Zemke, R., Raines, C., & Filipczak, B. (2000). Generations at Work. AMACOM.

Gelbtuch, J.B. & Morlan, C. (2010). Multigenerational Teams and Their Impact in Project Management. PMI Community Post. Retrieved from http://www.pmi.org/eNews/Post/2010_04-23/Multi-gen-Teams-And-Impact-in-PM.html

Heffner, C. L. (2014). Cohort Effects. AllPsych. Retrieved from http://allpsych.com/dictionary/c/

Morlan, C. & Gelbtuch, J.B. (2016). Successful Project Management Leadership in a Multigenerational Workplace. 10th Annual UT Dallas Project Management Symposium, Dallas, TX. https://pmworldlibrary.net/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/pmwj52-Nov2016-Gelbtuch-Morlan-PM-Leadership-Multigenerational-Workplace-second-edition.pdf

Seitel, S. (2005). Generational Competence UpDate Column. Retrieved from http://www.workfamily.com/Work-lifeClearinghouse/UpDates/ud0015.htm

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo

About the Authors



Anna Ladipo, MBA, PMP, PMI-ACP, CSM

Texas, USA



Anna Ladipo, MBA, PMP, PMI-ACP, CSM, FLMI, is an Associate Professor of Practice and Academic Director of the Executive Education Project Management Certificate Program at the Naveen Jindal School of Management, University of Texas at Dallas (UTD). She holds an MBA from Queen's University and brings about two decades of Fortune 500 IT consulting experience across technology, financial services, and healthcare sectors. She is the founder of NGSIT Inc.

A PMI Authorized Training Partner faculty lead, she supported the UTD PM Certificate Program through a successful six-year GAC reaccreditation. She contributes to leading project management journals and blogs and is a co-author of a forthcoming chapter in The PhD Survival Guide (Routledge, eds. McDonald & Minkowitz).

She has presented at the PMI Global Webinar, the SECOPA/NECOPA Conference, and multiple professional forums on project management leadership and career resilience, and plays a central role in the annual UTD Project Management Symposium and the annual UTD Virtual PM Conference. A doctoral candidate in Public Affairs at UTD, she is extending her work into public sector project management and organizational effectiveness. She can be reached at anna.ladipo@utdallas.edu.

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo



William (Bill) Hefley, PhD

Texas, USA

Dr. William (Bill) Hefley is a consultant, instructor, motivational speaker, and author with years of experience in practicing and teaching project management. He is a Clinical Professor Emeritus at the Naveen Jindal School of Management, The University of Texas at Dallas (UTD). He holds a doctorate in Organization Science and Information Technology from Carnegie Mellon; a master's degree in engineering and public policy, from Carnegie Mellon; a master's degree in systems management and a Graduate Certificate in Information Systems from the University of Southern California; and multiple undergraduate degrees – a B.A. (with Distinction) in Psychology, and a B.S. in Computer Science & Political Science. He was a key contributor to the PMI curriculum efforts and development of the foundational modules, and has taught PM and agile in project management, information systems, and multimedia design programs. He is a co-author of the People Capability Maturity Model, which has been used by organizations worldwide to guide workforce development. He was formerly an Executive Consultant with IBM and taught at IBM's Executive Consulting Institute, an executive with a global IT management consulting firm, and a project manager in multiple systems development efforts at organizations such as Intel and Lockheed, including deal teams awarded over a billion dollars in contract awards, development of a GUI windowing environment for custom workstations, satellite ground stations, a crew trainer for Space Shuttle astronauts, and multiple large-scale business applications. He can be reached at Bill.Hefley@utdallas.edu

© 2026 William F. Hefley, Anna Ladipo